LAW OFFICES OF

COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE, P.C. Patents, Trademarks, Copyrights

HENRY D. COLEMAN, Ph.D. R. NEIL SUDOL WILLIAM J. SAPONE

OF COUNSEL PAULS, FEINMAN 714 COLORADO AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT 06605 (203) 366-3560 FACSIMILE (203) 335-6779 / 6899

434 Mamaroneck Avenue Mamaroneck, New York 06605

E-MAIL COSUD@EROLS.COM

To Name: Company or Firm: Group: 1641 City/State/Country: Alexandria, Virginia. 22313-1450 Telecopier No.: 1-571-372-0820 Tom: H. D. Coleman Attorney's Docket No.: N12-025/09/501.642 Message: Dear Examiner Gabel: We recieved a copy of your advisory action in the mail today for the above-referenced patent application, which seemed or evidence that you had not fully received all of the papers we submitted with our last amendment/response. Note that the esponse included an RCE, but your advisory action to us failed to mention the RCE or related papers. Your papers refer to request for reconsideration which was not filed. We spoke with Examiner Christopher Chin, who looked up the papers electronically and the electornic files clearly evidenced that half, perhaps more of those papers never reached the file or were canned in. He advised us to faxy ou a copy of the complete papers we previously submitted as well as a copy of the canned in. He advised us to faxy ou a copy of the only only one of the searce left of the advised used to the submitted and the search of the search	TELECOPY TRANSMITTAL SHEET				
Telecopier No.: 1-571-372-0820 From: H. D. Coleman Attorney's Docket No.: N12-025/09/501.642 Message: Dear Examiner Gabel: We recieved a copy of your advisory action in the mail today for the above-referenced patent application, which seemed or evidence that you had not fully received all of the papers we submitted with our last amendment/response. Note that the esponse included an RCE, but your advisory action to us failed to mention the RCE or related papers. Your papers refer to request for reconsideration which was not filed. We spoke with Examiner Christopher Chin, who looked up the papers electronically and the electornic files clearly evidenced that half, perhaps more of those papers never reached the file or were canned in. He advised us to fax you a copy of the complete papers we previously submitted as well as a copy of the cancelled postcard. Those are enclosed. Please review the enclosed and let us have your comments. It would appear that at east the advisory action should be withdrawn and a first action is appropriate here, but we'll obviously leave that up to you. Please let me know if you have any question. With cordial professional regards. Very truly yours, Illenry D. Coleman CERATION OF F. CSIMILE TRANSMISSION legals of the papers were precipated in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the information in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify us immediately by telephone (203)366-	No. of Pages Transmitted: Cover + 16	Dated: April 21, 2004			
Attorney's Docket No.: N12-025/09/501.642 Message: Dear Examiner Gabel: We recieved a copy of your advisory action in the mail today for the above-referenced patent application, which seemed or evidence that you had not fully received all of the papers we submitted with our last amendment/response. Note that the esponse included an RCE, but your advisory action to us failed to mention the RCE or related papers. Your papers refer to request for reconsideration which was not filed. We spoke with Examiner Christopher Chin, who looked up the papers electronically and the electornic files clearly evidenced that half, perhaps more of those papers never reached the file or were canned in. He advised us to fax you a copy of the complete papers we previously submitted as well as a copy of the cancelled postcard. Those are enclosed. Please review the enclosed and let us have your comments. It would appear that at east the advisory action should be withdrawn and a first action is appropriate here, but we'll obviously leave that up to you. Please let me know if you have any question. With cordial professional regards. Very truly yours, Henry D. Coleman CERTIFICATION of F FOCSMILE TRANSMISSION regarded to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below. 4-21-04 Date PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the notividual named above and others specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby rotified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify us immediately by telephone (203)366-		CHRIS. CHING USPIOLGUV.			
Attorney's Docket No.: N12-025/09/501.642 Message: Dear Examiner Gabel: We recieved a copy of your advisory action in the mail today for the above-referenced patent application, which seemed to evidence that you had not fully received all of the papers we submitted with our last amendment/response. Note that the esponse included an RCE, but your advisory action to us failed to mention the RCE or related papers. Your papers refer to request for reconsideration which was not filed. We spoke with Examiner Christopher Chin, who looked up the papers electronically and the electornic files clearly evidenced that half, perhaps more of those papers never reached the file or were examed in. He advised us to fax you a copy of the complete papers we previously submitted as well as a copy of the rancelled postcard. Those are enclosed. Please review the enclosed and let us have your comments. It would appear that at east the advisory action should be withdrawn and a first action is appropriate here, but we'll obviously leave that up to you. Please let me know if you have any question. With cordial professional regards. Very truly yours, Henry D. Coleman Date PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual named above and others specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify us immediately by telephone (203)366-	City/State/Country: Alexandria, Virginia. 22313-1450				
Message: Dear Examiner Gabel: We recieved a copy of your advisory action in the mail today for the above-referenced patent application, which seemed of evidence that you had not fully received all of the papers we submitted with our last amendment/response. Note that the esponse included an RCE, but your advisory action to us failed to mention the RCE or related papers. Your papers refer to request for reconsideration which was not filed. We spoke with Examiner Christopher Chin, who looked up the papers electronically and the electronic files clearly evidenced that half, perhaps more of those papers never reached the file or were reached to the searcelled postcard. Those are enclosed. Please review the enclosed and let us have your comments. It would appear that at east the advisory action should be withdrawn and a first action is appropriate here, but we'll obviously leave that up to you. Please let me know if you have any question. With cordial professional regards. Very truly yours, Henry D. Coleman CERATICATION OF F. CSIMILE TRANSMISSION the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below. 4-21-04 Date PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual named above and others specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify us immediately by telephone (203)366-	Telecopier No.: <u>1-571-372-0820</u>				
We recieved a copy of your advisory action in the mail today for the above-referenced patent application, which seemed o evidence that you had not fully received all of the papers we submitted with our last amendment/response. Note that the esponse included an RCE, but your advisory action to us failed to mention the RCE or related papers. Your papers refer to request for reconsideration which was not filed. We spoke with Examiner Christopher Chin, who looked up the papers electronically and the electornic files clearly evidenced that half, perhaps more of those papers never reached the file or were canned in. He advised us to fax you a copy of the complete papers we previously submitted as well as a copy of the cancelled postcard. Those are enclosed. Please review the enclosed and let us have your comments. It would appear that at east the advisory action should be withdrawn and a first action is appropriate here, but we'll obviously leave that up to you. Please let me know if you have any question. With cordial professional regards. Very truly yours, Henry D. Coleman CERTY CATION OF F. CSIMILE TRANSMISSION Interesty certify that this paper is transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below. 4-21-04 Henry D. coleman Date PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual named above and others specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify us immediately by telephone (203)366-	From: H. D. Coleman	Attorney's Docket No.: <u>N12-025/ 09/501,642</u>			
Date PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual named above and others specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify us immediately by telephone (203)366-	We recieved a copy of your advisory action in the may to evidence that you had not fully received all of the paper response included an RCE, but your advisory action to u request for reconsideration which was not filed. We spot electronically and the electronic files clearly evidenced the scanned in. He advised us to fax you a copy of the compact cancelled postcard. Those are enclosed. Please review the least the advisory action should be withdrawn and a first Please let me know if you have any question. With cord	sers we submitted with our last amendment/response. Note that the is failed to mention the RCE or related papers. Your papers refer to a sike with Examiner Christopher Chin, who looked up the papers that half, perhaps more of those papers never reached the file or were plete papers we previously submitted as well as a copy of the the enclosed and let us have your comments. It would appear that at a action is appropriate here, but we'll obviously leave that up to you.			
The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for the use of the individual named above and others specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify us immediately by telephone (203)366-	CERTY/CATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this paper is transmitted to the Pater	4-21-04			
	individual named above and others specifically authorize	ed to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this			
PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS COMMUNICATION	PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE	RECEIPT OF THIS COMMUNICATION			

HDC N12-025

Application Serial No: 09/501,643 Filing Date: February 10, 2000

Date Mailed: February 23, 2004

The Stamp of the United States Patent Office date stamped, of the following documents: receipt, as of the

R, Larry, et al.

Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal (Ipp)

Request for Extension of Time (2pp)
Amendment (11pp)
Revocation of Power of Attorney and Appointment of Substitute Counsel (1pp)
Check No.

PTO/SB/30 (09-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person	s are required to respond to a concentration of			
Request	Application Number	09/501,643		
for	Filing Date	February 10, 2000		
Continued Examination (RC Transmittal	First Named Inventor	SKLAR, Larry, et al.		
Address to:	Art Unit	1641		
Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents	Examiner Name	Gailene Gabriel		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Attorney Docket Numb	er N12-025		
This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2.				
Submission required under 37 CFR amendments enclosed with the RCE will be en applicant does not wish to have any previously amendment(s). Previously submitted. If a final Office.	tered in the order in which they were file filed unentered amendment(s) entered,	d unless applicant instructs otherwise. If		
a. Considered as a submission even if this box is not checked. i. Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Rely Brief previously filed on				
b. Enclosed i. Amendment/Reply iii. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) iv. Other Request for Extension of Time				
2. Miscellaneous Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 CFR 1.103(c) for a period of months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(l) required) b. Other				
3. Fees The RCE fee under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is required by 37 CFR 1.114 when the RCE is filed. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 04-0838				
i. RCE fee required under 37 C ii. Extension of time fee (37 CFF iii. V Other Any additional fees				
b. Check in the amount of \$ 440.00	enclo	sed		
c. Payment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed) WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED Name (Print/Type) Henry D. Coleman 32.559				
Name (Print/Type) Henry Coleman) Signature		agistration No. (attorney/Agent) 32,559 ate February 20, 2004		
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION				
i hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.				
Name (Print/Type) Joseph Weathered				
Signature This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.114.	The information is required to obtain or retain	Date February 28, 2004 n a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTC)		
to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by	35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14, This collect	ion is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including		

to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.